



LSA ASSOCIATES, INC.
2215 FIFTH STREET
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94710

510.540.7331 TEL
510.540.7344 FAX

CARLSBAD
FORT COLLINS
FRESNO
IRVINE

PALM SPRINGS
POINT RICHMOND
RIVERSIDE
ROCKLIN

SAN LUIS OBISPO
SEATTLE
S. SAN FRANCISCO

September 21, 2012

Pete Pedersen
Mount Diablo Unified School District
Holbrook Elementary School
3333 Ronald Way
Concord, CA 94519

Subject: EIR for Expanded Ygnacio Valley High School Ball Field Lighting Project

Dear Pete:

LSA Associates, Inc. (LSA) is pleased to submit this proposed scope, schedule and budget for the preparation of an EIR analyzing the environmental effects of the proposed Ball Field Lighting project (project), pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). This memo includes a discussion of project staff, a short summary of our understanding of the project and our approach to preparing the EIR, and the scope of work, schedule and budget.

INTRODUCTION

Throughout 2011 and early 2012, LSA prepared a Draft Initial Study for an earlier version of this proposed project. That Initial Study was published in April 2012 and was the subject of a public meeting on May 16, 2012. Since that time, the project has been revised in several ways described below and the District has decided that, with these new elements of the project, an EIR will be needed to fully evaluate the project's potential adverse impacts and alternatives to it.

Primary staff on this project will continue to be **Caroline Park, Assistant Planner** and **David Clore, Managing Principal** of the Berkeley office. They may be assisted by **Amy Paulsen, Associate**. Caroline, Amy and David will also be assisted by other technical and support staff, as needed.

PROJECT UNDERSTANDING

The previous project proposed by the Mount Diablo Unified School District (District) and analyzed in the April 2012 Initial Study involved the installation of field lighting at the main track and football field facility at Ygnacio Valley High School, located at 755 Oak Grove Road in Concord, California. The ball field facility is located on the eastern edge of the high school campus, north of the Contra Costa Canal Trail and west of a residential neighborhood that is accessed by a circular roadway known as Graymont Circle. Spectator bleachers for the facility are located along its eastern edge, immediately adjacent to several single family residences. Other than the individual foundations, stanchions, electrical fixtures and lights themselves, the proposed project did not include any other development. No structures would need to be demolished. Only minor excavation was expected.

Revisions to that earlier project now include the following new elements:

- Possible removal of the proposed sound wall and replacement with other sound attenuation features, as well as possible replacement of visitor bleachers with longer, shorter, ones;
- Addition of a concession/restroom building (or two separate buildings of no more than 3,000 square feet, including the ticket booth);
- Addition of a standalone press box, centered behind the existing home side bleachers, but not structurally connected;
- Addition of electrical outlets on the home team side of the field allowing for speakers that would face those bleachers (to be used by outside users subject to a volume control governor);
- DSA-compliant exit lighting along the path of arrival and exit from the field (this would allow the main field lighting to be turned off earlier at the end of games); and
- A maximum of 2,000 spectators for championship games.

All other physical and operational elements of the earlier project remain the same.

Because the Initial Study prepared in 2011/2012 addressed all of the environmental topics that are required by CEQA for the earlier project, this new assignment includes three main steps: (1) update the Initial Study to reflect the revised project; (2) address five topics (aesthetics, air quality, greenhouse gas emissions, noise and traffic) in the main text of the Focused EIR; and (3) prepare remaining EIR chapters for the revised project (e.g., Introduction, Summary, Project Description, Alternatives, CEQA-Mandated Chapters, and References).

SCOPE OF WORK

Table 1 outlines LSA’s proposed scope of work for this assignment, which is discussed in greater detail below.

TASK A. PROJECT INITIATION

Project initiation will include data gathering and updating the project description to reflect the additional elements described above.

1. Data Gathering and Evaluation

LSA will confirm the continued accuracy of all existing data and analyses and update these data where appropriate.

Table 1: Work Program Outline

<p>TASK A. PROJECT INITIATION</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Data Gathering and Evaluation 2. Prepare Project Description <p>TASK B. UPDATE INITIAL STUDY</p> <p>TASK C. PREPARE INITIAL STUDY</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Administrative Draft Initial Study 2. Screencheck Draft Initial Study 3. Draft Initial Study <p>TASK D. PREPARE NOTICE OF PREPARATION</p> <p>TASK E. PREPARE DRAFT EIR</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Project Description 2. Setting, Impacts and Mitigation Measures <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Aesthetics b. Air Quality c. Greenhouse Gas Emissions d. Noise e. Transportation and Circulation 3. Alternatives 4. CEQA-Required Assessment Conclusions 5. Administrative Draft EIR 6. Screencheck Draft EIR 7. Public Review Draft EIR <p>TASK F. RESPOND TO COMMENTS</p> <p>TASK G. PREPARE FINDINGS</p> <p>TASK H. PREPARE MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PLAN</p> <p>TASK I. PREPARE NOTICE OF DETERMINATION</p> <p>TASK J. PROJECT MANAGEMENT</p> <p>TASK K. MEETINGS AND PUBLIC HEARINGS</p>
--

2. Prepare Project Description

LSA will prepare the project description based on materials provided by the project team. It will include a discussion of the key characteristics of the project site and its vicinity, project objectives, details of the proposed project, the approval process, the anticipated construction schedule, and any permits that would be sought as part of project approval.

TASK B. UPDATE INITIAL STUDY

LSA will update the research and analysis provided in the previous Initial Study to reflect the revised project. The following topics will be addressed in the Initial Study, as outlined in the CEQA Environmental Checklist Form (Appendix G of the *CEQA Guidelines*): *agricultural and forestry resources; biological resources; cultural resources; geology and soils; hazards and hazardous materials; hydrology and water quality; land use and planning; mineral resources; population and housing; public services and recreation; and utilities and service systems.*

The previous Initial Study analysis of the five topics of aesthetics, air quality, greenhouse gas emissions, transportation and circulation, and noise would be removed and direction provided for the reader to see the main text of the Focused EIR for analysis of these five topics.

TASK C. PREPARE INITIAL STUDY

Based on the updated environmental analysis conducted as part of Task B, LSA will prepare an Initial Study for review by the project team.

1. Administrative Draft Initial Study

LSA will prepare an Administrative Draft Initial Study with all of the components included in the previous version. Five (5) paper copies and one digital copy of the Administrative Draft Initial Study will be submitted to the project team for review and comment. At the end of the District's review, LSA will discuss comments on the Administrative Draft by phone or e-mail, if desired.

2. Screencheck Draft Initial Study

Based on a single set of consolidated and non-contradictory comments, LSA will amend the Administrative Draft Initial Study and prepare a Screencheck Draft for final review by District staff. The Screencheck Draft will be provided in digital format, along with a compare version that explicitly shows changes between the two drafts in underline and ~~strikeout~~.

3. Draft Initial Study

LSA will make any final refinements to the Screencheck Draft Initial Study based on comments provided by District staff. Five (5) copies of the Draft Initial Study will be submitted to the project team. Digital files would also be provided.

TASK D. PREPARE NOTICE OF PREPARATION

LSA will prepare a Notice of Preparation (NOP) in accordance with the requirements of CEQA. LSA will be responsible for distribution to the State Clearinghouse. The District will be responsible for distribution and noticing in a local newspaper and to adjacent property owners. Following the 30-day comment period, LSA will review all comments, recommend any needed changes to the proposed scope of work, and ensure that all submitted concerns are adequately covered by the EIR.

TASK E. PREPARE DRAFT EIR

In consultation with LSA, the District has determined that an EIR will be required to address the potentially significant impacts associated with aesthetics, air quality, greenhouse gas emissions, noise, and transportation and circulation. The subtasks associated with preparation of the Draft EIR are discussed below.

1. Project Description

LSA will expand the Initial Study project description for inclusion in the EIR. The EIR project description will include maps showing the location and boundaries of the project and a description of the characteristics of the project site.

2. Setting, Impacts, and Mitigation Measures

LSA proposes to prepare a focused EIR, which will evaluate the impacts related to aesthetics, noise, air quality, greenhouse gas emissions and traffic. All other environmental topics will be screened out using the Initial Study, as discussed above under Tasks B and C.

Aesthetics. The aesthetics section will describe existing visual conditions in and around the ball field, as well as views to and from the surrounding area. The effects of the lighting project on scenic views and visual character will be qualitatively evaluated. LSA will also determine whether proposed lighting would spill over into adjacent properties, increase glare or reduce the quality of nighttime views. To prepare the analysis LSA will require a description of the size, number and appearance of the proposed lighting facilities and/or drawings, renderings, or design guidelines from the District, as available. We assume that the selected lighting contractor will be able to provide illumination studies illustrating the intensity and distance over which fugitive light and glare would be cast by the proposed project. We would anticipate discussing the appropriate level of detail for this presentation with District staff and the lighting contractor.

Air Quality. Increased attendance at games associated with the lighting project could potentially increase emission concentrations in Concord through increased vehicle trips and construction activities. This increase could contribute to existing air pollution in the San Francisco Bay Area air basin and has the potential to exceed regional air emission thresholds established by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD). Construction activities associated with the installation, including ground disturbance, could increase concentrations of particulate matter. Increased air pollution could affect compliance with existing air quality plans and adversely affect the health of sensitive receptors.

To identify existing air quality conditions and potential air quality impacts resulting from the proposed project, LSA will undertake the following subtasks:

- ***Describe the existing regulatory framework.*** The existing regulatory framework for air quality, including existing air quality laws and regulations and the roles of the local agencies including the California Air Resources Board (ARB), the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), and the City of Concord will be described.
- ***Obtain and describe air quality monitoring data.*** Project setting meteorological and air quality data developed through the ARB and climatological and air quality profile data gathered by the BAAQMD will be utilized for the description of existing ambient air quality. Most recent published air quality data from air quality monitoring stations in the vicinity of the project site for the past three years will be included to help highlight existing

air quality. Other sources such as regulatory documents, professional publications, and past LSA experience in the project area will supplement background information.

- **Assess project construction impacts.** Construction of the proposed project would generate increased particulate emissions associated with grading and other construction activities on the project site. Construction equipment exhaust would also be a source of air pollution. LSA will calculate construction emissions using the California Emissions Estimator model (CalEEMod).
- **Assess project operation-period impacts.** Increased attendance at ball field games has the potential to generate new vehicular trips within the basin. Emissions associated with long-term operations from vehicle trips will be calculated with CalEEMod. Project trip generation and other data included in the traffic study will be used. In addition, emissions associated with stationary sources, such as on-site energy consumption, will be estimated with the model.
- **Assess carbon monoxide (CO) hot spots.** The assessment of CO impacts will be conducted following the latest BAAQMD CEQA guidelines which provide a screening analysis for the evaluation of impacts.
- **Identify mitigation measures.** Mitigation measures designed to reduce the project's air quality impacts to the extent feasible will be described. Mitigation measures established by the BAAQMD for dust suppression will be identified to reduce construction impacts. Both an evaluation of the potential mitigation measures and a discussion of their effectiveness will be provided.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions. LSA will address the project's contribution to greenhouse gas emissions in a manner compliant with the most recent expectations from the State of California and BAAQMD in the preparation of the EIR analysis. LSA will evaluate the project's greenhouse gas emissions by conducting the following tasks:

- **Describe existing environmental setting.** LSA will summarize up-to-date information related to greenhouse gas emissions and global climate change, along with climate/meteorological conditions in the project vicinity, and the State and regional setting.
- **Describe the existing regulatory framework.** The existing regulatory framework for greenhouse gas emissions will identify applicable federal, State, and local policies, regulations, and programs.
- **Assess project impacts.** LSA will provide a quantitative assessment of greenhouse gas emissions associated with relevant sources related to the project including construction activities, increased vehicle trips and energy consumption.
- **Identify mitigation measures.** LSA will identify, where necessary, practical mitigation measures to address any significant project or cumulative impacts. LSA will work with the District to identify measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, as necessary. These measures may include transportation demand management measures, site disturbance reduction measures, energy conservation measures and renewable energy sources.

Noise. (The majority of the following scope of work related to potential adverse noise impacts was undertaken for the earlier project and incorporated into the public review Draft Initial Study (April 2012). These same tasks would be updated for the expanded project. Because much of the earlier work can still be relied upon, the budget estimate for this sub-task is substantially reduced.)

Because the Project site is adjacent to a residential neighborhood, the area around Ygnacio High School is particularly sensitive to high noise levels. LSA will conduct the following subtasks to identify existing noise conditions and potential impacts that could result from implementation of the proposed project:

- **Conduct ambient noise monitoring.** Short-term ambient noise monitoring will be conducted at a total of up to four locations in the project vicinity (including, as access is granted, in and around neighboring residential properties) to establish the noise environment associated with existing activities on the project site. A long-term noise measurement would also be conducted to capture existing ambient noise levels in the project vicinity and enable calculation of the 24-hour weighted-average day-night level (L_{dn}).
- **Identify operational noise impacts.** Increased noise from project-related spectator and equipment (P.A. system) noise sources will be evaluated for potential noise impacts on adjacent noise sensitive uses commensurate with the level of detail available for project operations. Event noise will be based on documented noise levels of similar equipment configurations and the anticipated maximum number of spectators for the anticipated nighttime events. Noise specifications of any redesigned PA system will need to be provided to LSA in order to provide a complete quantitative impact analysis of the operational noise impacts of the proposed project.
- **Calculate project vehicle noise impacts.** This project will be analyzed for impacts from a full seating capacity event. LSA will quantify noise impacts from vehicle trips generated by such an event. Traffic noise impacts will be assessed using the U.S. Federal Highway Traffic Noise Prediction Model (FHWA RD-77-108). Model input data include average daily traffic levels; day/night percentages of autos, medium and heavy trucks; vehicle speeds; ground attenuation factors; and roadway widths. Projections of the Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL) traffic noise levels along selected roadway segments in the project vicinity, based on the transportation analysis conducted for the project, will be provided in table format to show the relationship between roadway noise sources and adjacent areas affected by elevated noise levels.
- **Assess short-term construction noise impacts.** Construction of the project would require the short-term operation of heavy equipment in the vicinity of educational uses and adjacent homes. EPA recommended noise emission levels will be used for the construction equipment. The construction noise impact will be evaluated in terms of maximum levels (L_{max}) and/or hourly equivalent continuous noise levels (L_{eq}) and their frequency of occurrence. Analysis requirements will be based on the sensitivity of the project area and City of Concord noise ordinance specifications.
- **Identify mitigation measures.** Mitigation measures designed to reduce short- and long-term noise levels to acceptable levels will be identified where appropriate. Both an evaluation of the potential mitigation measures and a discussion of their effectiveness will be provided.

Transportation and Circulation. The focused traffic analysis for the proposed project will be prepared according to the methodology contained in the Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA) *Technical Procedures*. Currently, the technical procedures are undergoing revision and therefore it is anticipated that the 2006 *Technical Procedures* will be utilized. The traffic analysis will not evaluate daily conditions at the school, but will evaluate the effects of a large event at the field, such as a football game where the stadium is filled. The following tasks will be necessary to complete the traffic analysis:

- **Data Collection.** LSA will collect PM peak hour traffic counts at up to 10 study area intersections. Because the project proposes to install lights in order to enable use of the sports fields during the evening hours, it is not anticipated that any new traffic would be generated by the project during the AM peak hour. Therefore no analysis of AM peak hour conditions would be required. The study area for the analysis was selected based on the potential attendance area of the school. The potential attendance area was determined by identifying the location of the school in relation to surrounding high schools. Intersections providing access to I-680 were also included, as visiting teams would likely travel to the project site using I-680. The following 10 intersections are recommended for inclusion in the study:
 - Oak Grove Road/Monument Boulevard
 - Oak Grove Road/Treat Boulevard
 - Oak Grove Road/Ygnacio Valley Road
 - Bancroft Road/Treat Boulevard
 - Bancroft Road/Ygnacio Valley Road
 - Oak Road/I-680 Northbound Ramps
 - Oak Road Treat Boulevard
 - I-680 Northbound Ramps/Treat Boulevard
 - I-680 Southbound Ramp/Geary Road
 - North Main Street/I-680 Southbound Ramps

LSA will request from the City of Concord a list of approved projects in the vicinity of Ygnacio Valley High School to use in developing a cumulative scenario.

- **Project and cumulative trip generation, distribution and assignment.** Project trips will be generated for the proposed project based on the anticipated attendance at a large event, the anticipated mode split and ride-sharing behavior expected of event participants and attendees, and the start and end times of the event. This information should be provided to LSA by the District. Trips ASSEMBLED by cumulative projects will be generated and assigned to the street system based upon traffic studies for the approved projects, if available. If these studies are not available, LSA will generate trips using trip rates contained in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), *Trip Generation*, 8th Edition and manually distribute trips to the street system. For purposes of this budget, trip generation, distribution and assignment for up to six approved projects is assumed. It should be noted that *Trip Generation*, 9th Edition is anticipated to be shipped by ITE at the end of September, 2012. If this reference is available at the time of the study, then the latest 9th Edition trip rates will be utilized.
- **Level of service analysis.** LSA will analyze the levels of service for the study area intersections for the following four scenarios:
 - Existing
 - Existing plus Project
 - Cumulative (Existing plus growth to project opening year plus cumulative projects)
 - Cumulative plus Project

- Intersections will be analyzed using the Contra Costa Transportation Authority Circular 212 LOS methodology.
- **Identify mitigation measures.** If any project impacts are identified, LSA will recommend specific mitigation measures to maintain acceptable traffic conditions under each of the scenarios. Because large events at the ball fields would not be a regular occurrence, LSA will first select operational measures for mitigation, rather than physical improvements. Operational measures could include temporary traffic signal timing modification, event traffic control, or off-site parking and shuttling of participants and attendees.

3. Alternatives

LSA will work with the District to develop an appropriate range of alternatives. This section will likely only analyze alternatives to the proposed project which may reduce the impacts to aesthetics, air quality, greenhouse gas emissions, noise, and transportation and circulation. Up to three alternatives will be considered.

4. CEQA-Required Assessment Conclusions

The potential growth-inducing impacts of the proposed project will also be evaluated. Additionally, *CEQA Guidelines* require that an EIR evaluate potential environmental impacts that are individually limited but cumulatively significant. These impacts can result from the proposed project alone or together with other projects. The analysis of cumulative effects will address the potential impacts associated with the proposed project in conjunction with other off-site, permitted, under-construction, or probable future projects.

5. Administrative Draft EIR

LSA will prepare five (5) copies of an Administrative Draft EIR for review by the District (this draft will also include the updated Initial Study). LSA will amend the Administrative Draft EIR based on a single set of consolidated non-contradictory comments received from the District.

6. Screencheck Draft EIR

LSA will prepare five (5) copies of a Screencheck Draft EIR for review by the District and will amend the Screencheck Draft EIR based on comments received from the District.

7. Public Review Draft EIR

LSA will submit fifty (50) copies of the Public Review Draft EIR (with the Initial Study included as an appendix) to the District. LSA will also provide the District with one digital version of the document on CD and one camera-ready copy of the document for making duplicate copies. In addition, LSA will prepare the Notice of Completion (NOC), in accordance with the *CEQA Guidelines* and be responsible for circulation of the NOC to the State Clearinghouse. LSA will assist the District with local distribution of Draft EIRs and for publishing the notice in the local newspaper so as to ensure that appropriate noticing and distribution requirements are met.

TASK F. RESPOND TO COMMENTS

Immediately following the end of the public review period, LSA will discuss with the District all comments received during the public review period, and the approach to undertake in responding to comments. Based on the level of interest in the earlier project from neighbors of the campus, this scope assumes that LSA would respond to a substantial number of comments from the public and

agencies. As with the Public Review Draft EIR, we would first provide an Administrative Draft Responses to Comments document, followed by a Screencheck version, followed by a Public Review version.

TASK G. PREPARE FINDINGS

LSA will prepare a draft Findings of Fact for significant environmental impacts identified in the EIR and a draft Statement of Overriding Considerations for significant unavoidable impacts, if applicable. This document will demonstrate the relationship of the Response to Comments Document to the project and the mitigation measures to be considered by the School Board during the review of the project.

TASK H. PREPARE MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PLAN

LSA will prepare a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) for all mitigation measures identified in the Initial Study and Focused EIR. We will identify responsibility for implementing and monitoring each mitigation measure, along with monitoring triggers and reporting frequency, for review and approval by District staff.

TASK I. PREPARE NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

Immediately upon project approval, LSA will prepare a Notice of Determination (NOD) for the District to file with the State Clearinghouse and the Contra Costa County Clerk.

TASK J. PROJECT MANAGEMENT

LSA will undertake a variety of general project management tasks throughout the process of updating the Initial Study and preparing the Focused EIR and presenting it to decision-makers. David will provide input on and monitor the scope, budget, and scheduling of the project. He is also ultimately responsible for quality assurance for all work undertaken. He will review all prepared text, tables, and graphics before these materials are presented as administrative review documents. He will also be available for consultation on CEQA procedural matters, as well as application of the *CEQA Guidelines* to this project. David and Caroline will jointly coordinate the day-to-day research, analysis and writing activities associated with the project.

TASK K. MEETINGS AND PUBLIC HEARINGS

David and Caroline will be available throughout the environmental documentation period to meet with the project team to discuss the environmental review strategy and work products. The cost estimate includes attendance by David and Caroline at one new kickoff meeting, three conference calls/meetings and two Board hearings.

BUDGET AND SCHEDULE

For completion of the scope of work discussed above, LSA proposes a total budget of \$107,455. Table 2 (below) provides a detailed budget estimate. It also includes a suggested \$5,000 to be used to fund any contingencies that may arise during the course of the assignment. Funds would not be drawn from the contingency without authorization by the District's project manager. The total budget with the contingency would be \$112,455.

We would provide receipts or other appropriate documentation for all direct/reimbursable costs.

LSA would provide an Administrative Draft EIR to the District within approximately 8 weeks of authorization to proceed and confirmation of final site plan/architectural details at the same level of detail as provided in the August 17, 2012 Salas O'Brien drawings. Our turnaround times for the Screencheck Draft and Public Review Draft would depend on the extent of District comments but could generally be accomplished within a 1-2 week period.

We appreciate the opportunity to continue working on this interesting project. Feel free to call us if you have any questions or suggestions for refinements to any aspect of this submittal.

Sincerely,

LSA ASSOCIATES, INC.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David Clore". The signature is stylized and cursive.

David Clore, AICP
Principal

cc: Mitchell Stark, 2010 Measure C – Assistant Program Manager, Mt. Diablo Unified School District

Table 2
MDUSD Ygnacio Valley High School
Environmental Impact Report
Budget Estimate

LABOR COSTS									
	Principal/Proj Mgr (Clore)	Assistant Planner (Park)	Air/Noise Manager (Fischer)	Air/Noise Specialist (Ault)	Traffic Principal (Macias)	Traffic Analyst (Staff)	Word Processing (Cronan)	Graphics/Prod. (Linder)	<i>Totals</i>
<i>Hourly Rate:</i>	\$240	\$75	\$130	\$110	\$165	\$100	\$95	\$105	
Task A Project Initiation									
(1) Data Gathering and Evaluation	4	4	2	2	2	2			\$2,270
(2) Prepare Project Description	6	10					1	2	\$2,495
<i>Subtotal for Task A</i>	10	14	2	2	2	2	1	2	\$4,765
Task B Update Initial Study									
<i>Subtotal for Task B</i>	10	24	8	8	8	8	6	6	\$9,440
Task C Prepare Initial Study									
(1) Administrative Draft Initial Study	8	8	1				6	8	\$4,060
(2) Screencheck Draft Initial Study	4	4	1				4	4	\$2,190
(3) Draft Initial Study	4	4					2	2	\$1,660
<i>Subtotal for Task C</i>	16	16	2	0	0	0	12	14	\$7,910
Task D Prepare Notice of Preparation (NOP)									
<i>Subtotal for Task D</i>	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	\$820
Task E Preparation of Draft EIR									
(1) Project Description	6	8					2	2	\$2,440
(2) Setting, Impacts and Mitigation Measures									\$0
(a.) Aesthetics	4	8					1	1	\$1,760
(b.) Air Quality	4	4	28	8			1	1	\$5,980
(c.) Greenhouse Gas Analysis	4	4	16	8			1	1	\$4,420
(d.) Noise	6	6	4	28			2	2	\$5,890
(e.) Traffic	4	8			32	72	2	6	\$14,860
(3) Alternatives	8	16	4				1	1	\$3,840
(4) CEQA Required Assessment Conclusions	2	6					1	1	\$1,130
(5) Administrative Draft EIR	10	8	2	2	2	2	6	8	\$5,420
(6) Screencheck Draft EIR	6	6	2	2	2	2	4	4	\$3,700
(7) Public Review Draft EIR	8	8	1	1	1	1	2	2	\$3,425
<i>Subtotal for Task E</i>	62	82	57	49	37	77	23	29	\$52,865
Task F Response to Comments									
<i>Subtotal for Task F</i>	20	24	8	16	16	16	4	4	\$14,440
Task G Findings									
<i>Subtotal for Task G</i>	6	8	0	0	0	0	2	0	\$2,230
Task H Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan									
<i>Subtotal for Task H</i>	1	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	\$785
Task I Notice of Determination									
<i>Subtotal for Task I</i>	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	\$560
Task J Project Management									
<i>Subtotal for Task J</i>	16	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$4,140
Task K Meetings and Public Hearings									
<i>Subtotal for Task K</i>	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$6,300
TOTAL LABOR (ALL TASKS)	164	203	77	75	63	103	52	55	\$104,255
DIRECT AND MISCELLANEOUS COSTS									
1. Deliveries and Postage									\$100
2. Travel									\$400
3. Maps; Plans; Reports									\$200
4. Printing									\$2,500
TOTAL DIRECT AND MISC. COSTS									\$3,200
TOTAL BUDGET									
									\$107,455
CONTINGENCY									
									\$5,000
TOTAL BUDGET WITH CONTINGENCY									
									\$112,455