

# School Restructuring Plan

Ygnacio Valley Elementary 2010-2011

## **Table of Contents**

Component	Page
Executive Summary	3 - 4
Needs Analysis	5 - 6
Restructuring Plan Implementation Chart	7 - 11

#### **Executive Summary**

Ygnacio Valley Elementary (YVE) is located in Concord and serves approximately 534 students in grades K-5. Of the student population, two-thirds (77.8%) are socioeconomically disadvantaged (SD), half (52.2%) are English Learners (EL), (67.8%) are Hispanic/Latino, and 10% are receiving special education services (SE).

Ygnacio Valley Elementary (YVE) did not meet its Academic Performance Index (API) which declined by 16 points from 713 to 697. They did, however, meet its Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) target in all components for the school-wide population. YVE is in Year 5 of Program Improvement (PI). Funding from Quality Education Investment Act, along with other significant State and Federal monies, provide valuable resources (including an External Entity as a guide) for raising achievement. The 2009-10 Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is designed to support all students and all subgroups in meeting each AYP target.

Ygnacio Valley Elementary has identified the following SMART goals to guide the work of staff to help students reach grade level proficiency. They include:

- From Fall 2009 to Spring 2010, the percent of students at or above proficient in Reading Comprehension as measured by the District Progress Assessment in ELA, will increase 50%
- From Fall 2009 to Spring 2010, the percent of students at or above proficient (rubric 3 or 4) in Writing as measured by the MDUSD Writing Prompt, will be 60% (baseline data unavailable at this time).
- From Fall 2009 to Spring 2010, the percent of all students at or above proficient in Number Sense, as measured by the District Progress Assessment in Math, will increase 50%.
- From 2009-2010, the percent of English Learners who are Re-Classified as Fluent English Proficient, as measured by the CELDT, CST scores and the report card, will increase from 9.2% to 14% (from 25 students to 40 students).

Specific strategies and activities for the 2009-10 school year include:

- YVES has been focused on developing as a Professional Learning Community. All grade level teams use SMART goals and the cycle of inquiry process, observe lessons, and review student work to improve instructional practice.
- Teachers participate in weekly grade level meetings to review and analyze student data from multiple sources, including the Edusoft student information system, and use the results of this analysis to develop SMART goals and inform and drive instruction.
- Title I funds support 1.0 FTE and EIA funds support 0.4 FTE intervention teachers who work with students in grades I through 5 to improve their academic literacy skills. AM and PM Kindergarten teachers support each others' students by pulling small groups for focused instruction.
- Quality Education Investment Act (QEIA) funds class size reduction in grades K-I (at a ratio of 20:1) and in grades 4-5 (at a ratio of 25:1).
- YVES provides strategic and intensive research-based reading intervention programs for grades 1-5. Students who are Far Below and Below Basic on their CST receive

intervention during the school day. Students who are Basic receive intervention after school through Title I.

- Approximately two-thirds of all teachers have been trained in and are implementing Board Math daily in their classrooms.
- Teachers K-5 have been trained and implement the Step Up to Writing research-based program.
- ALL YVES staff have been trained and are incorporating the Susanna Dutro's Systematic ELD, Guided Language Acquisition Development (GLAD) and Frontloading (preteaching strategy) strategies into the English/Language Arts program to provide additional support for EL students
- YVES continues to participate fully in the district's Feldman student engagement strategies for meeting the specific needs of diverse learners in all classrooms. Currently, Tricia O'Hara is working directly with teachers to coach them on student engagement, vocabulary, and student monitoring strategies.

#### **Needs Analysis**

During the past year, Ygnacio Valley Elementary has completed a thorough needs analysis. YVE looked at strengths and weaknesses, reviewed various data points including CST, benchmark assessments and CELDT movement analysis. District Progress Assessments (DPAs) benchmark assessments were conducted four times this year and the results were examined by staff, our external entity and AGT. In addition, YVE's Faculty Council and AGT examined the structures currently in place to improve student achievement and discussed results with School Site Council and ELAC. YVE staff, School Site Council and ELAC were consulted throughout and their input was considered in writing this plan.

Ygnacio Valley Elementary has experienced incremental improvement since 2004. From 2005 – 2009, YVE demonstrated growth from an API of 582 to an API of 697. The goal of this 2010-2011 restructuring plan is to accelerate growth in student achievement.

YVE has developed a restructuring plan which develops a structure that maximizes student learning is a systematic manner and which establishes an accountability system that provides for increased capacity building and sustainability. In alignment with the Essential Program Components, YVE's restructuring plan focuses on five areas:

- ☆ Rigorous Instructional Program based upon Content Standards
- $\Rightarrow$  Increased Instructional Time
- $\Rightarrow$  Response to Intervention
- ☆ Data Driven Culture
- ☆ Professional Accountability

Ygnacio Valley Elementary has the foundation necessary to implement our restructuring plan. Our instructional day has been modified to maximize RLA, Math and ELD blocks, while providing more time on Wednesdays for teacher collaboration. YVE has been using SMART goals for 2 years and teachers are becoming more proficient at setting goals, designing lessons to meet goals, assessing student learning, monitoring goals and sharing results. Intervention teachers demonstrate flexibility by morphing their programs and services to meet the changing student needs and program demands. The teachers at YVE are a stable, skilled and highly trained staff that is willing to implement our restructuring plan.

The following chart is the 2000-2009 Accountability Progress Report for Ygnacio Valley Elementary. It includes our API and AYP school-wide and for all subgroups.

### 2002 - 2009 Accountability Progress Report

Ygnacio Valley Elementary - 07 - 61754 - 6004410

		AYP ELA											API											
			P	articipa	tion Rat							Proficie	int Rate											
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2002 Growth	2003 Growth	2004 Growth	2005 Growth	2006 Growth	2007 Growth	2008 Growth	2009 Growth
AMO Target	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	13.6	13.6	13.6	24.4	24.4	24.4	35.2	46								
Overall	99.7	99.7	99	100	100	100	100	- 99	18.3	24.5	22.2	24.3	29.4	33	31.2	32.9	593	620	582	619	649	695	714	697
African American	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	17.2	23	16.1	20	26.3	41.7	44.4	41.7								
American Indan	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100																
Asian	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	25	40	58.8		50	50	41.7	50								
Alipino	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		27.2					50	41.7								
Hispanic	99.4	100	99	100	100	100	100	98	5.9	9.8	9.5	12.6	20.9	18.8	21.5	24.9	484	528	490	549	588	645	679	665
PacificIslander	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100																
White	100	99.2	100	100	100	100	100	100	32.1	45	42.1	42.9	52.2	58.9	55.9	58.7	730	748	731	737	767	800	795	
SED	99.5	100	99	100	100	100	100	100	8.2	12.4	14.1	13.3	16.1	20.1	21.8	23.7	512	533	518	559	582	644	675	657
English Learner	99.3	100	99	100	100	100	100	- 99	1.4	5.8	6.5	12.3	16	14.9	15.2	20.1					582	622	653	634
SWD	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	3.1	13.3	5.5	10.8	14.3	21.2	21.6	27.3								

		AYP Mathematics										Graduation Rate												
			P	articipa	tion Rat	æ						Proficie	int Rate											
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
AMO Target	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	16	16	16	26.5	26.5	26.5	37	47.5								
Overall	100	99.5	99	100	100	100	100	- 99	20.1	24.5	19.7	27.4	34.7	42.7	47.3	46.5								
African American	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	20.6	23	19.3	20	31.6	29.2	50	50								
American Indan	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100																
Asian	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	31.2	30	47		75	71.4	66.7	64.3								
Filipino	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		36.3					71.4	50								
Hispanic	100	99.5	- 99	100	100	100	100	98	8	11.9	9.5	19.7	24.9	35.4	40	42.6								
PacificIslander	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100																
White	100	99.2	100	100	100	100	100	100	33.5	42.6	36.8	40.5	59.4	56.2	61	56.5								
SED	100	99.5	99	100	100	100	100	100	13.1	12.8	12.3	19.7	23.4	35.2	39.7	42.3								
English Learner	100	100	99	100	100	100	100	- 99	6.3	9.3	7.6	18.4	23.2	31	34.8	36.7								
SWD	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	9.3	16.6	5.5	13.5	17.1	24.2	29.7	30.3								

= Met target

= Did not meet target

#### Restructuring/turnaround (Transformational) Strategies Ygnacio Valley Elementary 2010-2011

From 2009 to 2011, the Academic Performance Index score for Ygnacio Valley Elementary, as measured by the CST/STAR Test, will increase from 695 to 740.

Essential				English Language
Program	Reading/Language Arts	Math	Interventions for RLA and	Development/
Components	Reading/Danguage 11115	With	Math	Academic Language
(EPC)			<b>Response to Intervention</b>	Development
Student	Enom 2010 to 2011 the	Enom 2010 to 2011 the		<u> </u>
	From 2010 to 2011, the	From 2010 to 2011, the	From 2010 to 2011, the	From 2010 to 2011, the
Achievement	percent of all students at or	percent of all students at or	percent of students Far	percent of EL students
Goal/Target	above proficient in RLA, as	above proficient in Math, as	Below Basic and Below	reclassified as Fluent
	measured by the CST, will	measured by the CST, will	Basic students as measured	English Proficient as
	increase from 32.9% to	increase from 46.5% to	by the CST will decrease in	measured by the CELDT,
	46%.	58%.	RLA from 16.6% to 9% and	CST scores and report card,
			21.1% and 15%	will increase from 6.6% to
			respectively; and decrease	12%. This is equivalent to
			in math from 6.3% to 3%	35 students.
			and 20.8% and 14%	
			respectively.	
Summary of	Implement a full day K	Implement a full day K	Read 180 (grades 4-5)	Increase ELD/ALD to 60
Restructuring	program	program	Early Back: Santillana	minutes daily in grades 1-5
Strategies	Board Language	Board Math	Camp Can Do	Imagine Learning English
	Step Up to Writing	Increasing Math block	5 <sup>th</sup> Grade to pilot leveling	(all ELs grades K-3; B, EI,
	Increasing the RLA block	PLC/SMART Goals	for math	I, non-Read 180, ELs grades
	PLC/SMART Goals	Curriculum and Associates	After School Intervention:	4-5)
	Curriculum and Associates	Benchmark Assessments in	monthly focus on current	Avenues Systematic ELD
	Benchmark Assessments in	Math, grades K-5	SMART goals; students are	Curriculum
	RLA, grades K-5	Data Coach (weekly)	selected based upon the	SEI+L1 K-3 model:
	Data Coach (weekly)	External Entity (semi-	monthly goals; Kaplan	Parallel Instruction in
	External Entity (semi-	monthly)	Math	English (PIE)
	monthly)	Fred Jones Classroom		Implement a full day K
	Fred Jones Classroom	Management		program
	Management	Increase Psych Intern time		
	Increase Psych Intern time	to 4 days per week		
	to 4 days per week			
Instructional	Supplement Houghton	Supplement Houghton	Read 180 (grades 4-5)	Imagine Learning English
Program	Mifflin RLA with Board	Mifflin Math with Board	After School Intervention:	(all ELs grades K-3; B, EI,
-	Language and Step Up to	Math	monthly focus on current	I, non-Read 180, ELs grades

	Writing		SMART goals; students are selected based upon the monthly goals; Kaplan Math Early Back: Santillana Camp Can Do 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade to pilot leveling for math	4-5) Avenues Systematic ELD Curriculum SEI+L1 K-3 model (will be in K-2 in 2010-11): Parallel Instruction in English (PIE) for Lectura Classrooms from Alameda Regional Technical Assistance Center (RTAC) EO ALD Groups: Step Up to Writing, language and vocabulary development
Instructional Time	Implement a full day K program Increase uninterrupted blocks of time for RLA: 1½ hours for K 3 hours for grades 1-3 2½ hours for grades 4-5	Implement a full day K program Increase uninterrupted blocks of time for Math: 1 hour for K 1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> hours for grades 1-5	Read 180 for 2 hours per day, 5 days per week Early Back: 3 hours per day for 18 days (July 26-August 18)	Implement a full day K program 30 minutes of ELD/ALD daily in K 60 minutes of ELD/ALD daily in grades 1-5 (to be adjusted for Wednesdays) Imagine Learning: 30 minutes per day for minimum of 4 days per week) SEI+L1 K-3 PIE model during the RLA block
Administrative Training	Board Language PLC/SMART Goals EDI	Board Math PLC/SMART Goal EDI	Read 180	Imagine Learning English Avenues Systematic ELD Curriculum ADEPT SEI+L1 K-3 PIE model Frontloading
Highly Qualified Teachers and Professional Development Student	PLC/SMART Goals (50%) Board Language (50%) Step Up to Writing (100%) Student Engagement, Vocabulary Development, Student Monitoring (100%)	PLC/SMART Goals (50%) Board Math (100%) Curriculum and Associates	Read 180 (grades 4-5) RtI Intervention pre and post	BCLAD (3 teachers) Imagine Learning English (grades 1-5) Avenues Systematic ELD Curriculum SEI+L1 K-3 PIE model (3 SEI+L1 teachers) Frontloading Avenues Systematic ELD

Achievement	Benchmark Assessments in	Benchmark Assessments in	assessments in RLA and	Curriculum included
Monitoring	RLA, grades K-5	Math, grades K-5	Math	assessments
Systems	Curriculum & Associates	Curriculum & Associates	RAP scores	Imagine Learning English
	Data Reports	Data Reports	Curriculum and Associates	built in assessments and
	MDUSD Writing Prompts	Data Coach (weekly)	Benchmark Assessments in	reports
	(3 per year)	External Entity (semi-	RLA and Math, grades K-5	Students may change ELD
	Data Coach (weekly)	monthly)	Curriculum & Associates	groups based upon Avenues
	External Entity (semi-	SMART goals on 2-3 week	Data Reports	assessments, and CELDT
	monthly)	cycles	MDUSD Writing Prompts	and ADEPT scores
	SMART goals on 2-3 week	Individual student SMART	(3 per year)	EOs: Writing samples
	cycles	goals	Data Coach (weekly)	ELD/ALD SMART goals
	Individual student SMART	Share Curriculum and	External Entity (semi-	ELD levels meet with
	goals	Associates Math data at	monthly)	Principal monthly to share
	Share Curriculum and	AGT meetings	Intervention SMART goals	status and results of
	Associates RLA data at	Grade levels meet with	on monthly cycles	SMART goals
	AGT meetings	Principal monthly to share	Share Curriculum and	_
	Grade levels meet with	status and results of	Associates RLA and Math	
	Principal monthly to share	SMART goals	data at AGT meetings	
	status and results of		Intervention staff meet with	
	SMART goals		Principal monthly to share	
			status and results of	
			SMART goals	
Ongoing	Step Up to Writing Coach	Board Math Coaching	Read 180 ongoing support	ELD Resource Teacher
Instructional	(once per month)	Curriculum Support		(increase to 1.0 FTE)
Assistance and	Board Language Coaching	Provider (1.0 FTE), if funds		Imagine Learning English
Support	Curriculum Support	are available		Tech Support
	Provider (1.0 FTE), if funds			PIE Coach
	are available			
Regular	1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> hours twice per month	1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> hours twice per month	Meet with classroom	ELD groups meet 45
Teacher	and 45 minutes once per	and 45 minutes once per	teachers monthly	minutes once per month
Collaboration	month	month	Meet with Principal	
	Release time (1/2 day) once	Release time (1/2 day) once	monthly	
	per month as needed	per month as needed		
Instructional	Curriculum and Associates	Curriculum and Associates	Read 180 program and	Avenues Systematic ELD
and	3 times (4 times for K-1) per	4 times per year	assessments	Curriculum pacing guide
Assessment	year (September,	(September, November,	Curriculum and Associates	and included assessments
Pacing Guides	November, February, May)	February, May)	4 times per year	Imagine Learning English
	SMART goal timelines and	SMART goal timelines and	(September, November,	built in assessments and
	common assessments	common assessments	February, May)	reports
			Intervention SMART goal	SEI+L1 K-3 PIE Planning
			timelines and assessments	Guide

				ADEPT (November, May) CELDT (Fall) EOs: Writing samples (Step Up to Writing)
Fiscal Support	Title I (Part A and ARRA) SLIBG SIG EIA QEIA	Title I (Part A and ARRA) SLIBG SIG EIA QEIA	Title I (Part A and ARRA) SLIBG SIG EIA QEIA	EIA SIG
School Learning Environment	Increase Psych Intern time to 4 days per week Adjust lunch so students	Increase Psych Intern time to 4 days per week Adjust lunch so students	Increase Psych Intern time to 4 days per week Revisit BEST (Be Safe, Be	Increase Psych Intern time to 4 days per week Revisit BEST (Be Safe, Be
	play first and eat second Revisit BEST (Be Safe, Be Responsible, Be Respectful) Conflict Mediators Fred Jones Classroom Management Point System used at Sun Terrace and Sunrise for students at the top of the behavior pyramid Monthly Assemblies to reinforce BEST	play first and eat second Revisit BEST (Be Safe, Be Responsible, Be Respectful) Conflict Mediators Fred Jones Classroom Management Point System used at Sun Terrace and Sunrise for students at the top of the behavior pyramid Monthly Assemblies to reinforce BEST	Responsible, Be Respectful) Conflict Mediators Fred Jones Classroom Management Point System used at Sun Terrace and Sunrise for students at the top of the behavior pyramid Monthly Assemblies to reinforce BEST	Responsible, Be Respectful) Conflict Mediators Fred Jones Classroom Management Point System used at Sun Terrace and Sunrise for students at the top of the behavior pyramid Monthly Assemblies to reinforce BEST
Parent Involvement	ELAC and PTA meetings with a parent education component Second Parent Teacher Conference in February for students at greatest risk Snap Shot from the Classroom	ELAC and PTA meetings with a parent education component Second Parent Teacher Conference in February for students at greatest risk Snap Shot from the Classroom	ELAC and PTA meetings with a parent education component Second Parent Teacher Conference in February for students at greatest risk	ELAC meetings with a parent education component Second Parent Teacher Conference in February for students at greatest risk
How will you evaluate the strategies effectiveness (evaluation plan)?	Curriculum and Associates class reports Collection and dissemination of data on School Wide Strategies at monthly Staff Meeting Principal spends 30% of her week in classrooms	Curriculum and Associates class reports Collection and dissemination of data on School Wide Strategies at monthly Staff Meeting Principal spends 30% of her week in classrooms	Curriculum and Associates class reports Intervention data	Collection and dissemination of data on School Wide Strategies at monthly Staff Meeting Principal spends 30% of her week in classrooms with a focus on ELD

How much will it cost to implement the strategy and what resources (human and fiscal) will be used? Be as detailed as possible with actual projected costs.	PLC/SMART Goals PD Board Language PD, boards, coach, supplies Step Up to Writing PD, materials, coach Student Engagement, Vocabulary Development, Student Monitoring, coach Fred Jones PD Curriculum and Associates and scanners Data Coach (weekly) External Entity (semi- monthly) Curriculum Support Provider (1.0 FTE)	PLC/SMART Goals PD Board Math PD, boards, coach, supplies Step Up to Writing PD, materials, coach Fred Jones PD Curriculum and Associates and scanners Data Coach (weekly) External Entity (semi- monthly) Curriculum Support Provider (1.0 FTE)	Read 180 PD, materials, software and hardware Intervention Teachers (2.0 FTE) RtI PD	Imagine Learning English PD, program and hardware ELD Resource Teacher (0.2 FTE) Avenues Systematic ELD Curriculum and PD SEI+L1 K-3 PIE PD
What support will be needed from the District?	Assistance in selecting a quality data coach Provide numerous opportunities for teachers to be trained and coached in Board Language Implement and effective AGT process and support system Identify MDUSD district wide or Program Improvement "must dos" and "may dos" Eliminate all unnecessary "management" duties, so that principals could focus on being an instructional leader Provide leadership trainings and opportunities	Assistance in selecting a quality data coach Provide numerous opportunities for teachers to be trained and coached in Board Math Identify MDUSD district wide or Program Improvement "must dos" and "may dos"	Identify effective intervention programs in ELA and Math to be used for intervention within or beyond the school day or during the summer	Identify effective and SBE approved ELD Programs Provide an additional District AGT representative with a background in working with ELs Identify MDUSD district wide EL Program "must dos" and "may dos"